

American Opinion Summary

Department of State

Permanent file copy
Do not remove.

No. 51

December 1, 1963

CPA

For a reaction to the Administration's signature of the "cease" for its Cuban policy. (See also the following, following, substantial disagreement concerning the "cease" signed by Cuban weapons and troops on the island.)

Scripps Howard and the "Washington Wash" through the Defense Department's "independence" are so briefing and the President's comment at a recent press conference "a persuasive case for the authority of our intelligence" and "a good case, too, for our present situation." The Baltimore Sun asserts. With the "Washington Post and Washington Star" the Sun sees Administration critics now "required to toe to toe" the questions "they have been voicing since November and 'Will they invade Cuba?'

Even some British sources like the Adelphi, "Colonial Opinion must be respected," and 200,000 British citizens, "the absence of any threatening arms built up... is the main except Secretary McCormack's evaluation as farceous," says the stand-up inquirer, for "there is nothing to show him wrong in the extravagant and irresponsible claims made by some politically motivated critics" somewhat similarly, Scripps Howard's Wash. Sun:

"However, the Administration's defense of its policy in Cuba cannot erase" certain "frightening facts," especially maintain: Cuba is an armed Soviet base, and so can do the same, so, "our security and that of our neighbors in Latin America will be seriously endangered," the Philadelphia Inquirer adds. Scripps-Howard stresses "the lack of assurance concerning what remains disturbing"; furthermore, it holds that the disclosure of the nature of the weapons in Cuba "has been largely overlooked" by the Administration false, Sen. Robert W. Byrd, D-W. Va.,

The Wall Street Journal asserts that "the most unassuring thing about the whole business" is the "unpredicted fact" that sizeable Soviet forces and modern weapons are remain in Cuba.

"Lack of any appearance of change in Cuba has protested by some. "There are other measures available to us, short of invasion," such as "a tight naval blockade" and "pressure on Khrushchev" to recall his troops, Sen. Robert M. Taft, R-Ohio, Phila. Inquirer; Sen. Symington (D-Mo.),